



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

शिमला, शुक्रवार 27 जनवरी, 2012/7 माघ 1933

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

(Authoritative English Text of Himachal Pradesh Government Notification No. Rev.1-9(Stamp)3/79/2010-II dated 12.01.20012 as required under Article 348(3) of the Constitution of India).

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
(Stamp-Registration)

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171002, the 12th Jan, 2012

No. Rev. 1-9(Stamp)3/79/2010-II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 78 and 79 of the Registration Act, 1908 (XVI of 1908), as applicable to the State of Himachal Pradesh, and in supersession of all the previous notifications issued in this regard, from time to time, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to prescribe the **Article-I** of the Table of Registration Fees of the documents, as notified vide Notification No.17-13/66-Rev.-I dated 14 April, 1969 for whole of Himachal Pradesh to be effective from the date of its publication in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh, namely:-

TABLE OF REGISTRATION FEES
(Sections 78 and 79 of the Registration Act, 1908)

<i>Description of instrument</i>	<i>Rates of Registration Fee</i>
Article-I.- For the registration of documents:	
(A) For the registration of following documents relating to immovable property:- (i) Certificate of Sale; (ii) Conveyance/Sale; (iii) Further Charge(with possession); (iv) Gift; (v) Mortgage-Deed(with possession);	Registration fee @ 2.00% of the market value of the property or consideration amount, as the case may be, " <i>whichever is higher</i> ", subject to the minimum of rupees one hundred and fee rounded off to nearest rupees Ten shall be charged.
(B) On the instruments of assignment of debt by the Financial Institutions and Banks chargeable as Conveyance under Article 23 of Schedule 1-A of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 executed in favour of Assets Reconstruction Company constituted under Section 3 of the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (Act No.54 of 2002) and registered under the Companies Act, 1956 (Act No.1 of 1956) by the Department of Non-Banking Supervision, Reserve Bank of India, Mumbai.	Registration fee shall be charged as per the rates given in clause (A) above.
(C) Lease for immovable property.	Registration fee given in clause (A) above shall be charged on the same amount of the market value of the leased property, on which stamp duty has been assessed under article 35 of Schedule I-A of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899.
(D) For the registration of following miscellaneous documents:- (i) Deposit of Title-Deeds, Pawn or Pledge; (ii) Bond; (iii) Bottomry Bond; (iv) Exchange Deed; (v) Further Charge (without possession); (vi) Mortgage-Deed (without possession); (vii) Partition Deed; (viii) Release Deed; (ix) Respondentia Bond; (x) Settlement Deed; (xi) Transfer of Lease.	Registration fee @ 0.05% of the value or the consideration amount, if any, subject to the minimum of rupees one hundred and maximum rupees one thousand shall be charged.

(E) For the registration of any other documents:- Documents which cannot be brought under the scale prescribed by the preceding clauses of this Table (including Power of Attorney, Will or deposit, withdrawal and opening of Sealed Wills or Adoption Deed etc.).	A registration fee of rupees one hundred shall be charged.
---	--

- Note 1.** Such fee in the case of duplicates, if presented with the original shall be Rs. 10 only. Duplicate, if not presented alongwith their original shall be treated like the originals.
- Note 2.** The registration fee to be paid on partition deeds shall be calculated on the value of the share or shares on which stamp duty has been assessed under Article 45 of Schedule 1A to the Indian Stamp Act, 1899.

Provided that no registration fee shall be chargeable on a document executed in favour of or on behalf of Government where registration fee is payable by the Government.

By Order,
DEEPAK SANAN,
Principal Secy.-Cum-FC(Revenue).

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 3rd December, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Sheela Devi W/O Shri Roop Lal, R/O Village Garoru, P.O. Sajau Piplu, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla /Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Sheela Devi W/O Shri Roop Lal, R/O Village Garoru, P.O. Sajau Piplu, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner,

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 3rd December, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Reeta Devi W/O Shri Partap Singh, R/O Village Jangehail, P.O. Ropri, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla /Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Reeta Devi W/O Shri Partap Singh, R/O Village Jangehail, P.O. Ropri, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 3rd December, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Tilku Devi W/O Shri Bela Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla /Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Tilku Devi W/O Shri Bela Ram, R/O Village and P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 3rd December, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Krishni Devi W/O Late Shri Sohan Singh, R/O Village Haryanal, P.O. Tanehad, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla /Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Krishni Devi W/O Late Shri Sohan Singh, R/O Village Haryanal, P.O. Tanehad, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 3rd December, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Leela Devi W/O Shri Bhoop Singh, R/O Village and P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Leela Devi W/O Shri Bhoop Singh, R/O Village and P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 26th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Kameshwar Dutt S/O Shri Laskri Ram, Village Dawardu, P.O. Ropadi, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Kameshwar Dutt S/O Shri Laskri Ram, Village Dawardu, P.O. Ropadi, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 26th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Som Raj S/O Shri Sukh Ram, R/O Village Raru, P.O. Ropari, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Som Raj S/O Shri Sukh Ram, R/O Village Raru, P.O. Ropari, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 26th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Lekh Raj S/O Shri Swami Ram R/O Village Barerdi, P.O. Pehad, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Lekh Raj S/O Shri Swami Ram R/O Village Barerdi, P.O. Pehad, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 26th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Ramesh Chand S/O Shri Keshav Ram, R/O Village Saraskan, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Ramesh Chand S/O Shri Keshav Ram, R/O Village Saraskan, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 26th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Bhim Singh S/O Shri Bardu Ram, R/O Village Badehar, P.O. Kujabalh, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Bhim Singh S/O Shri Bardu Ram, R/O Village Badehar, P.O. Kujabalh, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 26th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Sanjay Kumar S/O Shri Sant Ram, R/O Village Sanour, P.O. Sari, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Sanjay Kumar S/O Shri Sant Ram, R/O Village Sanour, P.O. Sari, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 26th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Randhir Barwal S/O Shri Ruvel Chand, R/O Village Kaltri, P.O. Kothuwan, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Randhir Barwal S/O Shri Ruvel Chand, R/O Village Kaltri, P.O. Kothuwan, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Marahaju Devi W/O Shri Jagat Ram, R/O Village Gehra Trembla, P.O. Longni, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Marahaju Devi W/O Shri Jagat Ram, R/O Village Gehra Trembla, P.O. Longni, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Inderi Devi W/O Shri Kunju Ram, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Inderi Devi W/O Shri Kunju Ram, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Shakuntla Devi W/O Shri Panjak Ram, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per

power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Shakuntla Devi W/O Shri Panjak Ram, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Kamla Devi W/O Shri Raj Kumar, R/O Village Kapahi, P.O. Sari, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act ibid, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Kamla Devi W/O Shri Raj Kumar, R/O Village Kapahi, P.O. Sari, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Shakuntla Devi W/O Shri Panjak Ram, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Shakuntla Devi W/O Shri Panjak Ram, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Smt. Shantoo Devi W/O Shri Gian Chand, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Smt. Shantoo Devi W/O Shri Gian Chand, R/O Village Baral, P.O. Baroti, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Nek Ram S/O Shri Safria Ram, R/O Village Hukal, P.O. Longni, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Nek Ram S/O Shri Safria Ram, R/O Village Hukal, P.O. Longni, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-

Labour Commissioner.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, 28th November, 2011*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) I.D/2011-Mandi.—It appears to the undersigned that an industrial dispute about the following issue exists between Shri Kamlesh Kumar S/O Shri Sonu Ram, R/O Village Banal, P.O. Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. Vs. The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P.

As per the report under Section 12(4) of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 submitted by the Conciliation Officer, he tried his level best to settle the dispute during conciliation proceedings but could not succeed. The report so received has been considered by the undersigned and as per power vested under Sub Section 5 of Section 12 of the Act *ibid*, the undersigned has decided that this dispute is required to be legally adjudicated by the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal.

Therefore, the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. 19-8/89-Shram (Loose), Dated 7th September, 1992 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of The Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court/Industrial Tribunal Shimla/Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication:-

“Whether retrenchment of services of Shri Kamlesh Kumar S/O Shri Sonu Ram, R/O Village Banal, P.O. Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) Division, Dharampur, Tehsil Sarkaghat, District Mandi, H.P. w.e.f. 08-07-2005 without following the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, to what back wages, service benefits and relief the above named workman is entitled to from the concerned employer?”

Sd/-
Labour Commissioner.

Office of the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur (H. P.)**NOTICE**

Whereas, Smt. Aamna Begum d/o Shri Zahid Ali, r/o H. No. 100, W. No. 2, Badri Nagar, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur (H.P.), presently practising as an Advocate at Paonta Sahib, has applied for the appointment as Notary in the sub-division.

And whereas, the action under rule 6 and 7 of the Notaries Rules, 1956 is required to be taken on the application of the said Advocate.

Therefore, objections, if any, to the appointment of the applicant as Notary are hereby invited from the general public, Bar Association and other authority of Paonta sub-division by issuing this notice under rule 6 (b) of the said rules within fourteen days of such publication so that further action could be taken in the matter accordingly.

Issued on the 7th day of January, 2012 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

VIJAY KUMAR (HAS),
Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur (H. P.).

FORM NO. 48

[See Rule 99]

IN THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

In the matter of the Companies Act, 1956

And

In the matter of JHS Svendgaard Laboratories Limited

Company Petition No.8 of 2009

Soni Gulati & Co. Petitioner

Advertisement of Petition

Notice is hereby given that a petition for a winding-up of the above named company by the High Court at Shimla was on the 29th day of September, 2009, presented to the said Court by Soni Gulati & Co., Thakur Bhawan near Sankat Mochan Taradevi, Shimla-171010, a Creditor and that the said petition is directed to be heard before the said Court on the 6th day of March, 2012.

Any creditor, contributory or other person desirous of supporting or opposing the making of an order on the said petition should send to the petitioner or his advocate notice of his intention signed by him or his advocate with his name and address, so as to reach the petitioner or his advocate not later than 5 days before the date fixed for the hearing of the petition, and appear at the hearing for the purpose in person or his advocate. A copy of the petition will be furnished by the undersigned to any creditor or contributory on payment of the prescribed charges for the same.

Any affidavit intended to be used in opposition to the petition should be filed in Courts and a copy served on the petitioner or his advocate, not less than 5 days before the date fixed for the hearing.

Shimla :

Dated : 14-12-2011

Kapil Dev Sood,

Advocate

Dalzil Lodge Behind

State Bank of India, Shimla-171001.

Advocate for the Petitioner.

TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-2, the 24th January, 2012*

No. Tpt-F(1)8/2000.—Whereas, it appears to the Governor, Himachal Pradesh that the land is likely to be required by the Himachal Pradesh Government on behalf and expenses of the Himachal Road Transport Corporation (which is a Himachal Pradesh Government Undertaking) for public purpose, namely for construction of approach road to new Himachal Road Transport Corporation workshop Una, District Una Himachal Pradesh. It is hereby notified that land in the locality described below is likely to be acquired for the above mentioned public purpose.

2. This notification is made under the provisions of section-4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 to all whom it may concern.

3. In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to authorize the Officers for the time being engaged in or by the above said undertaking with their servants and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and to do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

4. Further, in exercise of the powers conferred under section-17(4) of the said Act, the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to direct that the provisions of section-5-A will not apply in regard to this acquisition.

5. The drawings etc. relating to land in question may be examined in the office of SDO(C)-cum-Land Acquisition Officer, Una, district Una.

SPECIFICATION OF LAND

District	Tehsil	Muhal/Upmuhal	Khasra No.	Area (in sq. decimeters)
Una	Una	Una/Bag	1100/1	25.00
Una	Una	Una/Bag	1101/1	31.25
Una	Una	Una/Bag	1102/1	105-89
			Total:- Kita-3	162-14

By order,
Sd/-

Principal Secretary (Transport).